

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,052	10/25/2000	Gerard Chauvel	TIF-29339	3869
23494	7590 11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			CONNOLLY, MARK A	
P O BOX 655	5474, M/S 3999			
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2115
DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1.1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/696,052	CHAUVEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Connolly	2115				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	August 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	own from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2000</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The cautor declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority document 2. □ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been	pplication No	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
 P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		-			

Application/Control Number: 09/696,052 Page 2

Art Unit: 2115

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 have been presented for examination.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunakawa et al¹ [Sunakawa] EP No 0683451A2 in view of Fujihara US Pat No 5894579.
- 5. Referring to claim 1, Sunakawa teaches the processor comprising a plurality of processing modules substantially including:
 - a. calculating consumption information for a plurality of scenarios for executing a plurality of tasks, the consumption information of each scenario based on probabilistic values for activities associated with the tasks [Abstract].
 - b. executing the tasks according to a selected scenario on said plurality of processing modules responsive to said consumption information [Abstract].

Although Sunakawa teaches calculating consumption information of scenarios and executing tasks associated with those scenarios according to the consumption information, Sunakawa does not explicitly teach that the tasks are scheduled for concurrent execution.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,052 Page 3

Art Unit: 2115

Fujihara teaches a means to control the execution of different scenarios comprising tasks scheduled for concurrent execution based on the tasks consumption information [fig. 7 and col. 3 line 56- col. 4 line 16]. Because the read and write commands for the FD, CD-ROM and HD control the actual devices themselves, it is interpreted that a plurality of tasks must be scheduled for concurrent execution since for example, reading or writing to a FD, CD-ROM and HD requires at least the tasks of spinning the disk and writing and/or retrieving data from the disk. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the teachings of Fujihara into the Sunakawa system because Sunakawa explicitly teaches the need to "calculate[s] a total consumption power of devices used by each task" [page 16 lines 3-4] and Fujihara further teaches a means to calculate and manage power consumption for tasks requiring disk access.

- 6. Referring to claim 2, Sunakawa teaches monitoring the actual activity occurring and modifying the execution of tasks based on the monitoring step [Abstract].
- 7. Referring to claim 3, Sunakawa teaches providing maximum performance within thermal constraints [page 3 lines 45-50 and page 15 line 56 page 16 line 9].
- 8. Referring to claim 4, Sunakawa teaches executing the tasks on a plurality of processing modules responsive to said consumption information in order to execute the tasks using the lowest possible energy consumption [Abstract and page 4 lines 28-30].
- 9. Referring to claim 5, Sunakawa teaches:
 - a. generating a task allocation scenario [page 9 lines 7-28 and figs 7, 8A and 8B].

¹ As cited by the applicant

Art Unit: 2115

- b. estimating the activities for task allocation scenario [Abstract, page 9 lines 7-28 and figs 7, 8A and 8B]. The usage of devices in each task are interpreted as activities.
- c. computing the consumption associated with said activities [Abstract, page 9 lines 7-28 and figs 7, 8A and 8B].
- 8. Referring to claim 6, Sunakawa teaches receiving a task list describing the tasks to be executed and a task model describing the tasks [page 9 lines 7-28 and figs 7, 8A and 8B].
- 9. Referring to claim 7, Sunakawa teaches including initial estimates for each task [page 9 lines 7-28 and figs 7, 8A and 8B].
- 10. Referring to claim 8, Sunakawa teaches priority constraints for each task [Abstract and page 9 lines 29-32 and figs. 7 and 8C].
- 11. Referring to claim 9, Sunakawa teaches including information regarding possible degradations associated with one or more of the tasks in the task list [page 3 lines 34-41]. Priority is interpreted as information regarding possible degradations.
- 12. Referring to claim 10, Sunakawa teaches computing the energy consumption associated with the activities [page 4 lines 39-42]. Average power and energy are interpreted to be the same since both describe power over a given time period.
- 13. Referring to claim 11, Sunakawa teaches computing the power consumption associated with the activities [Abstract].
- 14. Claims 12-22 are the apparatus claims which follow the methods of claims 1-12 and are therefore rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.
- 15. Claim 23 is drawn to the methods of claims 1, 5-6 and 9 and is therefore rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 09/696,052

Art Unit: 2115

16. Claim 24 is drawn to the methods of claims 12, 16-17 and 20 and is therefore rejected on

the same basis as set forth hereinabove.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark Connolly whose telephone number is (571) 272-3666. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas C Lee can be reached on (571) 272-3667. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Connolly Examiner

Art Unit 2115

mc

November 20, 2004